



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,448	11/14/2001	Richard D. Hale	99-441	6676

7590 04/20/2004
WESTERLUND . POWELL, P.C.
Suite 100
100 Daingerfield Rd.
Alexandria, VA 22314-2886

EXAMINER

PEREZ DAPLE, AARON C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sk

27

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/987,448	HALE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron Perez-Daple	2121	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Aaron Perez-Daple.

(3) _____

(2) Keith A. Roberson (Reg. 52,171).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
22, 31


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending claims 22 and 31 to include the limitations of claim 23 and adding a step to the claims for using the translated final design data to manufacture the composite structure. Upon receipt of a Supplemental Amendment from Applicant and further review, a response from the Office will be forthcoming..